Please type an "x" inside this box $\,$ - \boxtimes

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. omb 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Profilection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Order the Paperwork Reduction Act or 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information diffies a valid Own Control Information				
XX WAYO	Application Number	09/846,994		
	Filing Date	May 1, 2001		
WANSMITTAL FORM	First Named Inventor	Richard E. Hunter		
(to be seed for all correspondence after initial filing)	Group Art Unit	3752		
TOTA TO	Examiner Name	Davis D. Hwu		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	0095-194		

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal For Fee Attache Amendment/Resp After Final Extension of Time Express Abandoni Information Disclo Certified Copy of F Document(s) Response to Miss	d onse Request ment Request sure Statement Priority ing Parts/	Assignment Papers (for an Application) Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Checklist and Accompanying Petition To Convert a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer	— After Allowance Communication to Group — Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences — Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) — Proprietary Information — Status Letter ✓ Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below): POSTCARD; DECLARATION OF MICHAEL L. CLARK; REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION		
Response to Missing Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 Transmitted herewith are the following: Request for Reconsideration in response to Office Action mailed on February 13, 2006; Declaration of Michael L. Clark Under 37 CFR §1.132; and a prepaid return postcard. No fee is required at this time.					
Firm (Atty) Michael H. Jester or Reg. No. 28,022 Individual Name A Professional Law Corporation					
Individual Name A Professional Law Corporation Signature					
Date April 26, 2006					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail (postage pre-paid) in an envelope addressed to: MS - AMENDMENT - NO FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on this date: April 26, 2006					
Typed or printed name Michael H. Jester ,					
Signature	m	W. WA	Date 4-26-06 /		

OIPE WA	•
MAY U 2 7006 IN THE UNITED STATES P	PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re Pront application of:)
Richard E. Hunter et al.) Examiner: Davis D. Hwu
Serial No.: 09/846,994) Group Art Unit: 3752
Filed: May 1, 2001) Confirmation No.: 3915
For: Rotor Type Sprinkler with Turbine Over-Spin Prevention))

REOUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is requested.

In the response to the Office Action mailed February 13, 2006, transmitted herewith is an originally executed DECLARATION OF MICHAEL L. CLARK UNDER 37 CFR §1.132. In the Office Action mailed February 13, 2006, Claim 1, the lone pending claim, was rejected for obviousness over U.S. Patent No. 5,375,768. In the Rule 132 Declaration submitted herewith Michael L. Clark, the inventor of the '768 patent, states that the sprinkler disclosed in said '768 patent does not include a valve as called for in Claim 1 as amended.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the examiner that it would have been obvious to modify the sprinkler of the '768 patent to produce a sprinkler of the type set forth in amended Claim 1 in which a valve prevents over-spinning of the turbine by selectively re-directing pressurized fluid around the turbine when the pressurized fluid is air or a mixture of water and air. As set forth in the aforementioned Rule 132 Declaration of Clark, the sprinkler disclosed therein does not have such a valve. Moreover, the Rule 132 Declaration of Clark makes it clear that the valve of the '768 patent is not capable of performing an over-spinning function. The examiner has

09/846,994

Request for Reconsideration

not suggested what, if any, modifications would make the valve of the '768 patent to make it capable of preventing over-spinning. Further, there is no teaching, suggestion or motivation in the '768 patent to make such modifications. Accordingly, withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of amended Claim 1 over the '768 patent is requested.

This application is in condition for allowance, and notification to this effect is solicited. No additional fee is due at this time.

Respectfully submitted, 4/26/06

By: Michael H. Jester

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 28,022

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Paten	t application of:)	
Richard E.	Hunter et al.) Examiner: Da	vis D. Hwu
Serial No.:	09/846,994) Oroup Art Unit:	3752
Filed:	May 1, 2001) Confirmation No.	: 3915
	tor Type Sprinkler with rbine Over-Spin Prevention)))	

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL L. CLARK UNDER 37 CFR §1.132

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 222313-1450

Dear Sir:

- I, Michael L. Clark, hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am a U.S. citizen, over the age of eighteen years and competent to make this declaration.
- 2. Unless otherwise indicated, all statements made herein are based on personal knowledge.
- 3. I am currently employed by Hunter Industries, Inc. (hereinafter "Hunter"), the assignee of the above-captioned pending U.S. patent application.
- 4. I have been employed by Hunter for the past twenty-five years.
- 5. My current position at Hunter is Research Lab Manager.
- 6. During my employment at Hunter, I have regularly designed sprinklers.
- 7. I have been granted thirteen (13) patents on irrigation related inventions, including U.S. Patent No. 5,375,768 entitled "Multiple Range Variable Speed Turbine" granted December 27, 1994.
- 8. The sprinkler disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,375,768 does not include a valve that prevents, by selectively re-directing the pressurized fluid around the turbine, over-spinning of the turbine when the pressurized fluid is air, or a mixture of water and air.

09/846,994 0095-194

- 9. The valve included in the sprinkler disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,375,768 is held closed with a bias spring. With the valve in the closed position, there is no flow path for either air or water, except through the inlet that feeds the turbine.
- 10. In the sprinkler disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,375,768, the valve will open as the pressure delta across the valve exceeds the spring force. The valve actually forces fluid to the turbine when the valve is closed and will continue to bias fluid to the turbine as the valve opens.
- 11. The valve of the sprinkler disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,375,768 includes a small throttling blade that enters the inlet to the turbine as the valve opens. This blade is designed to meter water only. The size of this blade would have little impact on the velocity of air.
- 12. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

4/12/2006	By:	Michael & Clark
Date		Michael L. Clark